Several isolated singularities of $f(z)$ inside Γ

The Residue Theorem

If $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$ are isolated singularities inside Γ and $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n$ are non-intersecting circles traversed once in the anti-clockwise direction then $\Gamma \cup (-C_1) \cup \cdots \cup (-C_n)$ is the boundary of a region in which $f(z)$ is analytic and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\oint_\Gamma f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \oint_{C_k} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&= 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{Res}(f, z_k).
\end{aligned}
$$

With the knowledge of Laurent series to describe the behaviour of $f(z)$ in the vicinity of each point z_k we get the above result.

Earlier results with 0 or 1 isolated singularities

Week 18: **Cauchy-Goursat theorem**: If f is analytic in a simply connected domain D and Γ is any loop (i.e. a closed contour) in D then

$$
\oint_\Gamma f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = 0.
$$

No singularities inside Γ.

Week 18: **The generalised Cauchy integral formula**:
If f is analytic in a simply connected domain D and Γ is any loop and z_0 is inside Γ then

$$
\frac{f^{(m)}(z_0)}{m!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^{m+1}}\,\mathrm{d}z, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
$$

1 singularity inside Γ.

The earlier results as a special case of the Residue Theorem

$$
\oint_\Gamma f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{Res}(f, z_k).
$$

▶ When $f(z)$ is analytic inside Γ we have no isolated singularities inside Γ, i.e. $n = 0$.

▶ When $n = 1$ and we have a pole at z_1 of order m

$$
\mathrm{Res}(g, z_1) = \frac{f^{(m)}(z_1)}{m!}, \quad \text{when } g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_1)^{(m+1)}}.
$$

The earlier results were of course needed to establish the residue theorem result.

Techniques to calculate the residue

In the case of a **simple pole** of $f(z)$ at z_0 most examples for calculating the residue have involved calculating the limit

$$\mathrm{Res}(f, z_0) = \lim_{z \to z_0} (z - z_0) f(z).$$

In many of the examples L'Hopital's rule has been used.

More generally when we have a **pole of order** $m \geq 1$ we can calculate the residue by using

$$\mathrm{Res}(f, z_0) = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{m-1}}{\mathrm{d}z^{m-1}} \left((z - z_0)^m f(z) \right).$$

We need to know the order of the pole to use the above.

It is sometimes possible to simplify the expression for $(z - z_0)^m f(z)$ before differentiation is done.

Integrals on $(-\infty, \infty)$ evaluated using residue theory

With $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ being polynomials we consider

$$f(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} \quad \text{(week 24)} \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} \mathrm{e}^{imz}. \quad \text{(week 25)}$$

Suppose that $f(z)$ has poles at points $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ in the upper half plane. Suppose that $Q(z)$ has no zeros on the real axis.

With $\Gamma_R = [-R, R] \cup C_R^+$ denoting the closed contour

$$\oint_{\Gamma_R} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = \int_{-R}^{R} f(x)\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{C_R^+} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{Res}(f, z_k).$$

When the integral involving C_R^+ tends to 0 as $R \to \infty$ we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \quad \text{or} \quad \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} f(x)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Examples in the lectures

In week 24.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^2 + 2x + 2} = \pi.$$

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^4 + 16}\,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{\pi \sqrt{2}}{16}.$$

In week 25 (this week). Let $a > 0$.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{iax}}{1 + x^2}\,\mathrm{d}x = \pi \mathrm{e}^{-a}.$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x \sin(x)}{1 + x^2}\,\mathrm{d}x = \pi \mathrm{e}^{-1}.$$

The last example will need Jordan's lemma to justify that the contribution from C_R^+ tends to 0 as $R \to \infty$.

Other loops in the exercises

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^4 + 16}$$

has one simple pole at $z_1 = 2\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4}$ inside this loop when $R > 2$. With an upper half circle instead as the loop we have 2 simple poles inside the loop at z_1 and $z_2 = 2\mathrm{e}^{3\pi i/4}$.

A square as a loop in the exercises

In the context of the sum of a series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(n), \quad f(z) \text{ being even,}$$

the following loop Γ_N, which is a square, is used.

$(N + \tfrac{1}{2}, N + \tfrac{1}{2})$

This has length $L_N = 4(2N + 1)$. $M_N = \max\{|f(z)| : z \in \Gamma_N\}$.
We need $M_N L_N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

## Singularities on $\mathbb{R}$ and Cauchy principal values

In the lectures and in the exercises of this week and next week we will also consider integrals of the form

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\,dx$$

when $f(x)$ has poles on the real axis. The integrals need to be considered in a principal valued sense. In the case of a singularity at 1 the indented contour is illustrated below.

The knowledge of the Laurent series enables us to determine the contribution from the smaller half circle.

A sufficient condition for the C_R^+ part to tend to 0

In week 24 we proved the following.

Suppose that $f(z)$ is a rational function of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
P(z) &= a_p z^p + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0, \\
Q(z) &= b_q z^q + \cdots + b_1 z + b_0
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_p \neq 0$, $b_q \neq 0$. When $|z| = R$ is large

$$|f(z)| = \mathcal{O}\left(R^{p-q}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{R^{q-p}}\right).$$

$RM_R \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$ when $q - p \geq 2$, i.e. $q \geq p + 2$.

The integrals on C_R^+ when we have a e^{imz} term

With $z = x + iy$, $imz = -my + imx$, $e^{imz} = e^{-my}e^{imx}$. When $m > 0$, $|e^{imz}| = e^{-my} \leq 1$ when $y \geq 0$.

When $\deg(Q) \geq \deg(P) + 2$ we have

$$\int_{C_R^+} \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}\,dz \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{C_R^+} \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}e^{imz}\,dz \to 0$$

as $R \to \infty$ by using the ML inequality.

When $\deg(Q) = \deg(P) + 1$ Jordan's lemma also gives

$$\int_{C_R^+} \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}e^{imz}\,dz \to 0$$

as $R \to \infty$.

Jordan lemma comments

When $\deg(Q) = \deg(P) + 1$ there is a constant $A \geq 0$ such that for part of the integrand

$$\left| \frac{P(Re^{i\theta}) iRe^{i\theta}}{Q(Re^{i\theta})} \right| \leq A, \quad \text{for sufficiently large } R.$$

Much of the detail is showing that for the other part to be considered

$$\int_0^\pi \exp(-mR \sin\theta)\, d\theta \to 0 \quad \text{as } R \to \infty.$$

Firstly, $\sin(\theta) = \sin(\pi - \theta)$ and

$$\int_0^\pi \exp(-mR \sin\theta)\, d\theta = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \exp(-mR \sin\theta)\, d\theta.$$

Graphs of $\exp(-mR \sin(\theta))$, $mR = 1$, 2 and 4

Graphs of exp(-mR sin(t)), 0<t<pi

The value is 1 at $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ but small in the middle part.

A lower bound for $\sin(\theta)$ on $[0, \pi/2]$

$\sin(\theta)$ is above the linear interpolant using $x = 0$, $x = \pi/2$.

$$\sin(\theta) \geq \frac{2}{\pi}\theta.$$

Jordan's lemma, completing the detail

$$\sin(\theta) \geq \frac{2}{\pi}\theta, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

$$\exp(-mR \sin(\theta)) \leq \exp(-k\theta), \quad \text{with } k = \frac{2mR}{\pi}.$$

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \exp(-mR \sin\theta)\, d\theta \leq \int_0^{\pi/2} \exp(-k\theta)\, d\theta$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty \exp(-k\theta)\, d\theta = \frac{1}{k} \to 0 \quad \text{as } R \to \infty.$$

Singularities on $\mathbb{R}$ and Cauchy principal values

Suppose $f(z)$ has a simple pole on $\mathbb{R}$ and we want to evaluate

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\,dx.$$

The integrals need to be considered in a principal valued sense. In the case of a pole at $z = 0$ we need an indented contour as illustrated below.

The knowledge of the Laurent series enables us to determine the contribution from the smaller half circle.

The principal value for a singularity on $\mathbb{R}$

When we have a singularity of $f(z)$ at $x_0 \in [-R, R]$ the principal value means

$$\text{p.v.} \int_{-R}^{R} f(x)dx = \lim_{r \to 0}\left(\int_{-R}^{x_0-r} f(x)dx + \int_{x_0+r}^{R} f(x)dx\right)$$

In the above the part of the real line can be described as $[-R, R] \setminus (x_0 - r, x_0 + r)$. The part of $[-R, R]$ that we are excluding has x_0 exactly in the middle.

The C_r^+ contribution as $r \to 0$

When $f(z)$ has a simple pole at 0 it has a Laurent series of the following form for z sufficiently close to 0.

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-1}}{z} + g(z) \quad \text{where } g(z) = \text{analytic function.}$$

$$\int_{C_r^+} f(z)\,dz = a_{-1}\int_{C_r^+} \frac{dz}{z} + \int_{C_r^+} g(z)\,dz.$$

$z(\theta) = re^{i\theta}$, $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ describes C_r^+ and the length of C_r^+ is πr.

$$\int_{C_r^+} \frac{dz}{z} = \int_0^\pi \frac{ire^{i\theta}}{re^{i\theta}}\,d\theta = i\int_0^\pi d\theta = \pi i.$$

As a function $g(z)$ analytic on and near C_r^+ it is bounded and there exists K such that $|g(z)| \le K$ in the region. ($K = 2|g(0)|$ will do if $g(0) \ne 0$ when r is sufficiently small.) Using the ML inequality we have

$$\left|\int_{C_r^+} g(z)\,dz\right| \le K\pi r \to 0 \quad \text{as } r \to 0. \quad \lim_{r\to 0}\int_{C_r^+} f(z)\,dz = \pi i \text{Res}(f, 0).$$

Examples which use indented contours

We show the following.

$$I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x}\,dx = \pi, \quad I_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(x)}{x^2}\,dx = \pi.$$

We do these by using an indented contour and the following expressions.

$$I_1 = \text{Im}\left\{\text{p.v} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ix}}{x}\,dx\right\}.$$

$$I_2 = \text{Re}\left\{\text{p.v} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{2ix}}{2x^2}\,dx\right\}.$$

I_1 and I_2 exist in the usual sense, it is just intermediate quantities which need the principal value meaning.