## Real integrals - the area under a curve

Reminders about "an appropriate limit of a sum" definition of a definite integral.

$$
\text { Let } a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{m}=b \text {. }
$$

$$
A_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i} f\left(x_{i-1 / 2}\right), \quad h_{i}=x_{i}-x_{i-1}, \quad x_{i-1 / 2}=\frac{x_{i-1}+x_{i}}{2} .
$$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x=\lim _{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \max _{i} h_{i} \rightarrow 0}} A_{m}
$$



## Extending to complex valued functions

If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f=u+i v, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{a}^{b} u(x) \mathrm{d} x+i \int_{a}^{b} v(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

## Integrating a derivative

When

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=f(x)
$$

then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{a}^{b} F^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x=F(b)-F(a) .
$$

The interval $[a, b]$ of the real axis is an example of a directed smooth arc.

## Smooth arcs and contours

A set $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth arc if the set can be described in the form

$$
\{z(t): a \leq t \leq b\}, \quad z^{\prime}(t) \neq 0 \text { being continuous on }[a, b] .
$$

A contour is 1 point or a finite sequence of directed smooth arcs $\gamma_{k}$ with the end of $\gamma_{k}$ being the start of arc $\gamma_{k+1}$.

## Examples of contours
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## Definitions of integrals along an arc

A very small change $\Delta t$ in the parameter $t$ gives a small change

$$
\Delta z \approx \frac{\mathrm{~d} z}{\mathrm{~d} t} \Delta t
$$

The length of $\gamma$ is

$$
L=\int_{a}^{b}\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t
$$

The contour integral of $f(z)$ is

$$
\int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\int_{a}^{b} f(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{a}^{b}(\tilde{u}(t)+i \tilde{v}(t)) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

where $f(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t)=\tilde{u}(t)+i \tilde{v}(t)$.
The $M L$ inequality is

$$
\left|\int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z\right| \leq M L, \quad \text { where } M=\max _{z \in \gamma}|f(z)|
$$

## Independence of the path when $f=F^{\prime}$

The contour integral of $f(z)$ on $\gamma=\{z(t): a \leq t \leq b\}$ is

$$
\int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\int_{a}^{b} f(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

If there exists an anti-derivative $F$ along the path then

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} F(z(t))=F^{\prime}(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t)=f(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t)
$$

This is the integrand in the expression for the contour integral.
Key result:
Suppose that the function $f(z)$ is continuous in a domain $D$ and has an anti-derivative $F(z)$ throughout $D$. Then for any arc $\gamma$ contained in $D$ with initial point $z(a)$ and an end point $z(b)$ we have

$$
\int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\int_{a}^{b} F^{\prime}(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t=F(z(b))-F(z(a))
$$

## When we have a contour - a union of directed arcs

Suppose $F^{\prime}=f$ throughout the contour and

$$
\Gamma=\gamma_{1} \cup \gamma_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \gamma_{n}, \quad \text { with } \quad \gamma_{k}=\left\{z(t): \tau_{k-1} \leq t \leq \tau_{k}\right\} .
$$

The end point of $\gamma_{k}$ is the starting point of $\gamma_{k+1}$ for $k=1, \ldots, n-1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\gamma_{k}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\gamma_{k}} F^{\prime}(z) \mathrm{d} z \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(F\left(z\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right)-F\left(z\left(\tau_{k-1}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =F\left(z\left(\tau_{n}\right)\right)-F\left(z\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last part is because we have a 'telescoping' sum. The answer just depends on the end points when $F$ exists throughout $\Gamma$. The continuity of $F$ is needed in the above.

## Closed loops and powers of $z$

Let $\Gamma$ denote a closed loop.
Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$.
When $n \neq-1$ the anti-derivative of $\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}$ is
$\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n+1} /(n+1)$ and as a consequence

$$
\oint_{\Gamma}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n} \mathrm{~d} z=0 .
$$

When $n=-1$ the function $1 /\left(z-z_{0}\right)$ has an anti-derivative $\log \left(z-z_{0}\right)$ but this function is discontinuous on a branch cut starting from $z_{0}$. The value of the integral depends on whether the branch cut intersects with $\Gamma$ and this depends on whether $z_{0}$ is inside or outside the loop.

$$
\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{z-z_{0}} \mathrm{~d} z= \begin{cases}2 \pi i, & \text { if } z_{0} \text { is inside } \Gamma \\ 0, & \text { if } z_{0} \text { is outside } \Gamma .\end{cases}
$$
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## Equivalent statements relating to path independence, loop integrals and anti-derivatives



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{2} \cup\left(-\Gamma_{1}\right) \text { is a } \\
& \text { closed loop. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The following are equivalent statements involving the integral of $f$.
(i) All loop integrals of $f$ are 0 .
(ii) The value of the integral of $f$ only depends on the end points.
(iii) There exists an anti-derivative $F$, i.e. $F^{\prime}=f$.

## (i) and (ii) are equivalent

Let $z_{I}$ to $z_{E}$ be points and suppose that $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are two paths from $z_{l}$ to $z_{E}$ with $\Gamma_{2} \cup\left(-\Gamma_{1}\right)$ being a closed loop.
(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): As (i) is true and properties of the integral

$$
0=\oint_{\Gamma_{2} \cup\left(-\Gamma_{1}\right)} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\int_{\Gamma_{2}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z-\int_{\Gamma_{1}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z
$$

All loops containing the two points generates all paths between the points.
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i): As (ii) is true we have

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{2}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\int_{\Gamma_{1}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=-\int_{\left(-\Gamma_{1}\right)} f(z) \mathrm{d} z
$$

Let $\Gamma=\Gamma_{2} \cup\left(-\Gamma_{1}\right)$ and note that this is a loop. Integrating on $\Gamma$ gives

$$
\oint_{\Gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\oint_{\Gamma_{2} \cup\left(-\Gamma_{1}\right)} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=\int_{\Gamma_{2}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z+\int_{-\Gamma_{1}} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=0 .
$$

All ways of joining two points generates all loops containing the two points.

## An expression for the anti-derivative

We have already shown that (iii) ( $F^{\prime}$ existing) implies (ii) (path independence).
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii): Let $D$ denote a simply connected domain, let $z_{0} \in D$ and let $\Gamma(z)$ denote any path in $D$ from $z_{0}$ to $z$.
When all contour integrals of $f$ are path independent we can define

$$
F(z):=\int_{\Gamma(z)} f(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

and from the definition of the derivative we can show that

$$
F^{\prime}(z)=f(z)
$$

But when do we know that loop integrals are 0 ?
After the revision for the class test we consider a sufficient condition for this involving only properties of $f$.

## The case of rational functions

Let

$$
R(z)=\frac{p(z)}{q(z)}, \quad q(z)=\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{r_{1}}\left(z-z_{2}\right)^{r_{2}} \cdots\left(z-z_{n}\right)^{r_{n}} .
$$

$R(z)=\frac{p(z)}{q(z)}=$ (some polynomial) $+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{A_{k}}{z-z_{k}}+$ (higher order poles).
Here $A_{k}$ is the residue at $z_{k}$.
The polynomial part has an anti-derivative (another polynomial) and a $\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{-j-1}$ term has an anti-derivative $\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{-j} /(-j)$ when $j \geq 1$ and hence loop integrals of these part are 0 .
$1 /\left(z-z_{k}\right)$ has an anti-derivative throughout a loop when $z_{k}$ is outside the loop and hence loop integrals of such terms are 0 .

## Loop integrals and rational functions

If $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}$ are points inside $\Gamma$ at which $R(z)$ has poles then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\oint_{\Gamma} R(z) \mathrm{d} z & =\sum_{k=1}^{m} A_{k} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{z-z_{k}} \\
& =2 \pi i \sum_{k=1}^{m} A_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The answer just depends on the residues at the poles inside $\Gamma$.
The above is the residue theorem in the case of rational functions.
Towards the end of the module (in a chapter called "Residue Theory") we show that this holds more generally for any function $f(z)$ which is analytic inside $\Gamma$ except for a finite number of isolated singularities. In the more general case we cannot give an additive decomposition of the integrand as above and other techniques covered in term 2 are needed to cope with this more general case.

