### Chap 3: some of the main points Definitions: Complex differentiable, analytic ...

As was introduced in week 03.

Complex derivative: Let f be a complex valued function defined in a neighbourhood of z<sub>0</sub>. The derivative of f at z<sub>0</sub> is given by

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}f}{\mathsf{d}z}(z_0) \equiv f'(z_0) := \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

provided the limit exists.

- ► A function f is analytic at z<sub>0</sub> if f is differentiable at all points in some neighbourhood of z<sub>0</sub>.
- ► A function *f* is **analytic in a domain** if *f* is analytic at all points in the domain.
- A function f : C → C is an entire function if it is analytic on the whole complex plane C.

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 1 of 20

The Cauchy Riemann equations for f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)When f is analytic at  $z_0$  the following limit exists.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}z}(z_0)\equiv f'(z_0):=\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{f(z_0+h)-f(z_0)}{h}$$

By considering the case when h is real and then purely imaginary we get

$$f'(z) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x},$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{i} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}.$ 

The Cauchy Riemann equations are

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$
 and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ 

If u and v have continuous first partial derivatives on a domain Dand the Cauchy Riemann equations are satisfied then f is analytic on D. MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 2 of 20

#### Representations for f'(z)

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, & \text{(only involving derivatives with respect to } x), \\ &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, & \text{(only involving derivatives with respect to } y), \\ &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, & \text{(only involving } u), \\ &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, & \text{(only involving } v). \end{aligned}$$

The different versions are because of the CR equations.

f'(z) is thus completely determined by  $\nabla u$ .

f'(z) is thus completely determined by  $\nabla v$ .


#### Expressing in terms of z only

In the polynomial cases we directly showed how to write in terms of z. MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 3 of 20

#### The level curves of u and v are orthogonal By using the CR equations

$$\nabla u \cdot \nabla v = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0.$$

As a non-zero gradient vector is normal to a level curve this implies that the level curves of u and v are orthogonal.



The circles and radial lines are level curves of Log(w), i.e. they are the curves where the real and imaginary parts are constant. MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 4 of 20

# Harmonic functions and analytic function *φ*(*x*, *y*) is harmonic if

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = 0.$$

If f = u + iv is analytic then u and v are harmonic functions.
 v is said to be the harmonic conjugate of u.

If u is known then we can attempt to get v as follows.  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ 

Partially integrate w.r.t. x to get

$$v(x,y) = \text{some function} + g(y)$$
  
 $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \text{deriv of some function} + g'(y) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ 

This gives g'(y) and then we get g(y).

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 5 of 20

#### Chap 4: Elementary functions of z

We consider the following.

- 1. Polynomials.
- 2. Rational functions.
- 3.  $e^z = \exp(z)$ .
- 4.  $\sin(z)$ ,  $\cos(z)$ ,  $\sinh(z)$ ,  $\cosh(z)$ ,  $\tan(z)$ ,  $\cot(z)$ ,  $\tanh(z)$ .
- 5.  $\log(z)$ ,  $\log(z)$ .
- 6. Complex powers, i.e.  $z^{\alpha}$ .

With rational functions and the functions tan(z), cot(z) and tanh(z) we mention pole singularities and residues for the first time. They all have isolated singularities.

In the case of the logarithm and complex powers we mainly restrict to the "principal value case" which involves using the principal argument  $\operatorname{Arg}(z)$ . MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 6 of 20

#### Representation of polynomials and zeros

Polynomials are entire functions and can be represented in several ways.

$$p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{p_n^{(k)}(0)}{k!} z^k, \quad \text{(finite Maclaurin series)},$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{p_n^{(k)}(z_0)}{k!} (z - z_0)^k, \quad \text{(Taylor polynomial)},$$

$$= a_n(z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_n), \quad \text{(in terms of the zeros)},$$

$$= a_n(z - z_1)^{r_1} (z - z_2)^{r_2} \cdots (z - z_m)^{r_m},$$

where  $z_1, \ldots, z_m$  are the distinct zeros and  $r_1 + \cdots + r_m = n$ . At the zero  $z_k$  of multiplicity  $r_k$  we have

$$p_n(z_k) = p'_n(z_k) = \dots = p_n^{(r_k-1)}(z_k) = 0, \quad p_n^{(r_k)}(z_k) \neq 0.$$
  
MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 7 of 20

#### **Rational functions**

These are the ratio of two polynomials.

$$R(z)=\frac{p(z)}{q(z)}, \quad q(z)=(z-z_1)(z-z_2)\cdots(z-z_n).$$

 $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  are singular points.

If the limit exists as  $z \rightarrow z_k$  then  $z_k$  is a **removable singularity**.

Otherwise R(z) has a **pole singularity** at  $z_k$ . A **simple pole** is the case when 1/R(z) has a simple zero at  $z_k$ .

The order of the pole of R(z) is the multiplicity of the zero of 1/R(z).

These terms will appear again in term 2 when we classify functions more generally which have isolated singularities. This will be in the Laurent series section.

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 8 of 20

Partial fractions representation – just simple poles case

$$R(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}, \quad q(z) = (z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_n).$$

When deg  $p(z) < \deg q(z)$  and the zeros of q(z) are simple we have the partial fraction representation of the form

$$R(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{A_k}{z - z_k}$$

When deg  $p(z) \ge \deg q(z)$  and the zeros of q(z) are simple we have a representation of the form

$$R(z) = rac{p(z)}{q(z)} = ( ext{some polynomial}) + \sum_{k=1}^n rac{A_k}{z - z_k}.$$

In either case  $A_k$  is the **residue** at  $z_k$ .

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 9 of 20

Getting the residues when we only have simple poles

$$R(z) = rac{p(z)}{q(z)} = ( ext{some polynomial}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} rac{A_k}{z - z_k}.$$

To get  $A_k$  we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & k & \text{we have} \\ A_k & = & \lim_{z \to z_k} (z - z_k) R(z) = \lim_{z \to z_k} \frac{(z - z_k) p(z)}{q(z)} \\ & = & p(z_k) \lim_{z \to z_k} \frac{(z - z_k)}{q(z)} = \frac{p(z_k)}{q'(z_k)}. \end{array}$$

#### Multiple poles case

When q(z) has a zero at  $\zeta$  of multiplicity  $r \ge 1$  we need terms involving

$$\frac{1}{z-\zeta}$$
,  $\frac{1}{(z-\zeta)^2}$ ,  $\ldots$ ,  $\frac{1}{(z-\zeta)^r}$ .

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 10 of 20

#### Partial fraction examples

Note that  $z^2 + 1 = (z + i)(z - i)$ 

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^2+1} = \frac{A}{z+i} + \frac{B}{z-i},$$

we need to determine A and B.

$$f_2(z) = \frac{z^3}{z^2 + 1} = (\text{deg 1 polynomial}) + \frac{A}{z + i} + \frac{B}{z - i},$$
  
we need to determine A and B,

$$f_3(z) = \frac{4}{(z^2+1)(z-1)^2} = \frac{A}{z+i} + \frac{B}{z-i} + \frac{C_1}{z-1} + \frac{C_2}{(z-1)^2}$$
  
we need to determine  $A, B, C_1, C_2$ .

All the functions have pole singularities at  $\pm i$  and  $f_3(z)$  also has a pole at 1.

The residues are associated with the simple pole terms and are labelled as A and B in the case of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  and are labelled as  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  in the case of  $f_3$ . MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 11 of 20

## The representation when $q(z) = (z - z_0)^m$

Suppose the only singularity is at  $z = z_0$  and we have

$$R(z) = \frac{p(z)}{(z - z_0)^m}, \quad p(z) \text{ is a polynomial of degree } n.$$

In this case we use the finite Taylor polynomial representation of p(z) about  $z_0$ , i.e.

$$p(z) = p(z_0) + p'(z_0)(z - z_0) + \dots + \frac{p^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!}(z - z_0)^n.$$
$$R(z) = \frac{p(z_0)}{(z - z_0)^m} + \frac{p'(z_0)}{(z - z_0)^{m-1}} + \dots + \frac{p^{(n)}(z_0)/n!}{(z - z_0)^{m-n}}.$$

The residue is

$$\frac{p^{(m-1)}(z_0)}{(m-1)!}$$

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 12 of 20

#### Further comments about the $f_1(z)$ example

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^2 + 1} = \frac{A}{z + i} + \frac{B}{z - i}$$

There is not too much to do by any of the methods in this case. If we put the RHS on a common denominator (i.e.  $z^2 + 1$ ) and equate the result numerators then we get

$$1 = A(z - i) + B(z + i).$$

As this is true for all z we can separately set z = i or z = -i to get B and then A. Thus B = 1/(2i) = -i/2.

If we just get B by the above then equating the coefficient of z gives

$$0 = A + B, \quad A = -B.$$

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 13 of 20

Further comments about the  $f_1(z)$  example continued We can use limits and L'Hopital's rule. This is because we have the following.

 $(z+i)f_1(z) = A + (z+i)($ func analytic at  $-i) \to A$  as  $z \to -i$ ,  $(z-i)f_1(z) = B + (z-i)($ func analytic at  $i) \to B$  as  $z \to i$ .

$$A = \lim_{z \to -i} \frac{z+i}{z^2+1} = \frac{1}{2z} \Big|_{z=-i} = -\frac{1}{2i} = \frac{i}{2},$$
  
$$B = \lim_{z \to i} \frac{z-i}{z^2+1} = \frac{1}{2z} \Big|_{z=i} = \frac{1}{2i} = -\frac{i}{2}.$$

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 14 of 20

#### Further comments about the $f_2(z)$ example

$$f_2(z) = \frac{z^3}{z^2+1} = (\text{deg 1 polynomial}) + \frac{A}{z+i} + \frac{B}{z-i}.$$

$$A = \lim_{z \to -i} \frac{(z+i)z^3}{z^2+1}, B = \lim_{z \to i} \frac{(z-i)z^3}{z^2+1}.$$

We can use properties of limits before L'Hopital's rule is used. In the case of getting A we have

$$A = \left(\lim_{z \to -i} z^3\right) \left(\lim_{z \to -i} \frac{z+i}{z^2+1}\right),$$
  
$$= \left(z^3\big|_{z=-i}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2z}\Big|_{z=-i}\right)$$
  
$$= \frac{(-i)^3}{2(-i)} = \frac{(-i)^2}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 15 of 20

#### Is a partial fraction representation always possible? Suppose deg(p(z)) < deg(q(z)) with

$$q(z) = (z - z_1)^{r_1}(z - z_2)^{r_2} \cdots (z - z_n)^{r_n}$$

and let

$$R(z)=\frac{p(z)}{q(z)}.$$

Assuming a representation is possible, i.e.

$$\left(\frac{A_{1,1}}{z-z_1} + \dots + \frac{A_{r_1,1}}{(z-z_1)^{r_1}}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{A_{1,n}}{z-z_n} + \dots + \frac{A_{r_n,n}}{(z-z_n)^{r_n}}\right)$$

we can get the coefficients as in the examples and see the next slides.

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 16 of 20

#### Getting the residue and the other coefficients

Re-label to concentrate on one of zeros of q(z) at  $\zeta$  and write

$$R(z) = \cdots + \frac{B_1}{z-\zeta} + \cdots + \frac{B_r}{(z-\zeta)^r} + \cdots$$

Then

$$(z-\zeta)^r R(z) = B_r + B_{r-1}(z-\zeta) + \dots + B_1(z-\zeta)^{r-1} + (z-\zeta)^r (a \text{ function analytic at } \zeta).$$

To get  $B_j$  we have

$$(r-j)!B_j = \lim_{z\to\zeta} \frac{\mathsf{d}^{r-j}}{\mathsf{d}z^{r-j}}\left((z-\zeta)^r R(z)\right) \quad j=1,2,\ldots,r.$$

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 17 of 20

## General case ...comments on the validity

$$R(z) = \frac{p(z)}{(z - z_1)^{r_1}(z - z_2)^{r_2} \cdots (z - z_n)^{r_n}}$$

and let

$$\tilde{R}(z) = \left(\frac{A_{1,1}}{z - z_1} + \dots + \frac{A_{r_1,1}}{(z - z_1)^{r_1}}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{A_{1,n}}{z - z_n} + \dots + \frac{A_{r_n,n}}{(z - z_n)^{r_n}}\right)$$

with the coefficients as given above and let

$$g(z) = R(z) - \tilde{R}(z).$$

g is a rational function and  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  are the only possible points where it might have poles.

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 18 of 20

#### General case ... comments on the validity continued

Without giving too many details the following are the main steps to show that  $g(z) = R(z) - \tilde{R}(z) = 0$ .

1. In case of  $z_1$  consider

$$R(z) - \left(\frac{A_{1,1}}{z - z_1} + \dots + \frac{A_{r_1,1}}{(z - z_1)^{r_1}}\right)$$
  
=  $\frac{\phi_1(z)}{(z - z_1)^{r_1}Q(z)}$ ,  $Q(z) = (z - z_2)^{r_2} \cdots (z - z_n)^{r_n}$ ,

where  $\phi_1(z)$  is a polynomial. The detail is in showing that  $\phi_1(z)$  has a zero at  $z_1$  of multiplicity of at least  $r_1$  which implies that there is no pole singularity at  $z_1$ . A similar argument applies to all the points  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ .

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 19 of 20

General case ... comments on the validity .. last step

$$g(z) = R(z) - \tilde{R}(z).$$

2. As a consequence of the previous step the rational function g(z) has no poles and hence it is a polynomial. As we have  $R(z) \to 0$  and  $\tilde{R}(z) \to 0$  as  $|z| \to \infty$  we have  $g(z) \to 0$  as  $|z| \to \infty$ . This implies that g(z) = 0 as non-constant polynomials are unbounded in the complex plane.

By considering the details in the complex case justifies the rules you are likely to have used earlier when constructing partial fraction representations.

MA3614 2023/4 Week 06, Page 20 of 20