

Revision from week 17: a few statements about eigenvalues

Suppose $A\underline{v}_i = \lambda_i \underline{v}_i$, $\underline{v}_i \neq \underline{0}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $V = (\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n)$ and let $D = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$.

$$AV = VD.$$

When the eigenvectors are linearly independent V has an inverse and A is **diagonalisable**. Otherwise A is said to be **non-diagonalisable** or **deficient**.

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ is called the **spectrum** of A .

$$\rho(A) = \max\{|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|\} = \text{spectral radius of } A.$$

The common vector norms

$$\|\underline{x}\|_2 = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2} = (\underline{x}^T \underline{x})^{1/2},$$

$$\|\underline{x}\|_\infty = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\},$$

$$\|\underline{x}\|_1 = |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|.$$

Expressions for the common matrix norms

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|, \quad \text{involves rows,}$$

$$\|A\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|, \quad \text{involves columns,}$$

$$\|A\|_2 = (\rho(A^T A))^{1/2} \quad \text{involves eigenvalues.}$$

For all these norms $\rho(A) \leq \|A\|$. If $A^T = A$ then $\|A\|_2 = \rho(A)$.

Vector norm axioms

$$\|\underline{x}\| \geq 0 \quad \forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{with } \|\underline{x}\| = 0 \text{ if and only if } \underline{x} = \underline{0}.$$

$$\|\alpha \underline{x}\| = |\alpha| \|\underline{x}\| \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$\|\underline{x} + \underline{y}\| \leq \|\underline{x}\| + \|\underline{y}\| \quad \forall \underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Matrix norm

The matrix norm induced by a vector norm is

$$\|A\| = \max\{\|A\underline{x}\| : \|\underline{x}\| = 1\}.$$

All of the following norm requirements are satisfied.

$$\|A\| \geq 0 \quad \forall A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n} \quad \text{with } \|A\| = 0 \text{ if and only if } A = 0.$$

$$\|\alpha A\| = |\alpha| \|A\| \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}.$$

$$\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\| \quad \forall A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}.$$

We also have $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$.

Which \underline{x} with $\|\underline{x}\| = 1$ gives the maximum?

∞ -norm case:

$$(A\underline{x})_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

If we choose $\underline{x} = (x_j)$ such that

$$a_{ij} x_j = |a_{ij}|, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

then $(A\underline{x})_i$ is a row sum of the absolute values. We do this for the row of A which gives the largest row sum.

1-norm case: It is one of the base vectors \underline{e}_j .

2-norm case: It is a normalised eigenvector of the symmetric matrix $A^T A$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of $A^T A$.

The matrix condition number

$$\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|, \quad 1 \leq \kappa(A) \leq \infty.$$

We say $\kappa(A) = \infty$ when A does not have an inverse.

$\kappa(A)$ is large when A is near to a matrix which has no inverse.

In the real symmetric case \exists real eigenvalues λ_i and orthonormal eigenvectors \underline{v}_i . Let $V = (\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n)$, $D = \text{diag}\{\lambda_i\}$ with

$$0 < |\lambda_n| \leq \dots \leq |\lambda_1|.$$

In terms of V and D we have $A = VDV^T$ ($V^{-1} = V^T$ when V is orthogonal) which can be expressed in the form

$$A = \lambda_1 \underline{v}_1 \underline{v}_1^T + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \underline{v}_{n-1} \underline{v}_{n-1}^T + \lambda_n \underline{v}_n \underline{v}_n^T.$$

The nearest matrix to A in the 2-norm which is not invertible is

$$B = \lambda_1 \underline{v}_1 \underline{v}_1^T + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \underline{v}_{n-1} \underline{v}_{n-1}^T.$$

We can show that

$$\|A\|_2 = |\lambda_1|, \quad \|A - B\|_2 = |\lambda_n|, \quad \frac{\|A - B\|_2}{\|A\|_2} = \frac{|\lambda_n|}{|\lambda_1|} = \frac{1}{\kappa(A)}.$$

MA2715, 2019/0 Week 18, Page 5 of 12

Chap 2: Direct methods for solving $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$

- How would you solve the following 6×6 linear system?

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 7 & 9 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & 9 & 8 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 8 & 4 & 0 & 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 4 & 7 & 9 & 8 & 6 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \\ x_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -15 \\ 30 \\ 46 \\ 6 \\ -3 \\ 38 \end{pmatrix}$$

A very small problem for a computer but a bit tiring to attempt to do by hand calculations.

- If A is $n \times n$ with $n = 8000$ then how long does it take to solve $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ on a computer? Are the methods reliable and accurate?

The matrix condition number continued

$$\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|, \quad 1 \leq \kappa(A) \leq \infty.$$

- Do we compute it?

Generally no but we might estimate it.

- What is it used for in this module?

It quantifies when a matrix is nearly singular and for the problem

$$A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

it is such that if we change the entries of A or \underline{b} by terms of size ϵ then the solution may change by magnitude of about $\kappa(A)\epsilon$. It helps quantify the sensitivity of the system to changes to A and/or \underline{b} .

- Note that the ratio of the extreme eigenvalues only describes the condition number when A is real and symmetric.

MA2715, 2019/0 Week 18, Page 6 of 12

Using Matlab

- We get the answer in the 6×6 case by putting the following.

```
A=[3 0 1 2 4 6
    1 7 9 2 2 0
    4 5 9 8 2 1
    3 3 3 1 1 1
    8 4 0 3 5 2
    4 7 9 8 6 3];
b=[-15 30 46 6 -3 38]';
```

```
x=A\b
```

- In this part of this module we describe the Gauss elimination/ LU factorization method that is usually used. The number of operations grows with n like n^3 for a full matrix. With $n = 8000$ I have a timing of about 6 seconds on a laptop new in 2015. With $n = 16000$ it took about 47 seconds. In practice the method is reliable but it is not guaranteed to work in every case.

Upper triangular systems

$$\begin{array}{rclcl}
 u_{11}x_1 + u_{12}x_2 + \cdots + u_{1n}x_n & = & b_1 \\
 + u_{22}x_2 + \cdots + u_{2n}x_n & = & b_2 \\
 \vdots & & \vdots \\
 u_{n-1,n-1}x_{n-1} + u_{n-1,n}x_n & = & b_{n-1} \\
 u_{nn}x_n & = & b_n
 \end{array}$$

Backward substitution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_n &= b_n / u_{nn} \\
 x_i &= \left(b_i - \sum_{k=i+1}^n u_{ik}x_k \right) / u_{ii}, \quad i = n-1, \dots, 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{O}(n^2/2)$ entries in U and about n^2 operations to get \underline{x} .

With lower triangular systems we use forward substitution which has the same number of operations.

MA2715, 2019/0 Week 18, Page 9 of 12

Reduction to triangular form

Basic reduction is a specific order of the operations. At each stage in the basic reduction process we create zeros below the diagonal in a column and we have a vector of the **multipliers**.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x \end{pmatrix} &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \end{pmatrix} = A^{(1)}, \quad \underline{m}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ m_{21} \\ m_{31} \\ m_{41} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x & x \end{pmatrix} = A^{(2)}, \quad \underline{m}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ m_{32} \\ m_{42} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = U, \quad \underline{m}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ m_{43} \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

x and X are potentially non-zero.

MA2715, 2019/0 Week 18, Page 11 of 12

Solving $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ when $A = LU$

Here L is lower triangular and U is upper triangular.

$$A\underline{x} = LU\underline{x} = \underline{b}.$$

Algorithm:

Solve $L\underline{y} = \underline{b}$ by forward substitution.

Solve $U\underline{x} = \underline{y}$ by backward substitution.

The number of operations is about the same as computing

$$A^{-1}\underline{b}$$

if the inverse matrix A^{-1} is available.

It is rare to need to have A^{-1} .

Some of the material on the remaining slides will probably be covered next week.

MA2715, 2019/0 Week 18, Page 10 of 12

The $A = LU$ factorization

When the basic reduction is possible we have the factorization

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{21} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & 1 & 0 \\ m_{41} & m_{42} & m_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} & u_{14} \\ 0 & u_{22} & u_{23} & u_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & u_{33} & u_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$L = M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}M_3^{-1} = I + \underline{m}_1\underline{e}_1^T + \underline{m}_2\underline{e}_2^T + \underline{m}_3\underline{e}_3^T,$$

where each $M_k = I - \underline{m}_k\underline{e}_k^T$ is a **Gauss transformation matrix**.

Later we write $l_{ij} = m_{ij}$ for the entries of the lower triangular matrix. As the diagonal entries of L are all equal to 1 the matrix is said to be **unit lower triangular**.

MA2715, 2019/0 Week 18, Page 12 of 12