

Discontinuous Galerkin finite element methods for dynamic linear solid viscoelasticity problems

Béatrice Rivière^{*}, Simon Shaw[†], JR Whiteman[†]

November 9, 2005

Abstract

We consider the usual linear elastodynamics equations augmented with evolution equations for viscoelastic internal stresses. A fully discrete approximation is defined, based on a spatially discontinuous Galerkin finite element method, and an error estimate is given.

1 Introduction

This is the second in a series of papers, [12, 11], extending spatially discontinuous Galerkin methods to viscoelasticity problems.

We consider a model for the dynamic response of linear viscoelastic solids. This comprises the usual equations of elastodynamics, but augmented with evolution equations for the viscoelastic internal stresses. The spatial discretisation is effected by a discontinuous Galerkin finite element method (DG FEM), which can be taken as either a symmetric or non-symmetric scheme, and the time discretisation is a standard finite difference method of Crank-Nicolson type.

For the analogous quasistatic problem considered in [12] we represented the viscoelasticity through a hereditary integral. Here we have chosen the alternative representation through internal variables. The reasons for

^{*}Computational Mathematics Research Group, Department of Mathematics, 301 Thackeray, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260. (riviere@math.pitt.edu).

[†]BICOM (Brunel Institute of Computational Mathematics), Brunel University, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, England. ([simon.shaw](mailto:simon.shaw@brunel.ac.uk), [john.whiteman](mailto:john.whiteman@brunel.ac.uk))@brunel.ac.uk). Shaw and Whiteman would like to acknowledge the support of the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, GR/R10844/01, and also the US Army Research Office, DAAD19-00-1-0421.

this are, firstly, to show that the error estimates can be extended to this case and, secondly, because some practitioners prefer to work with internal variables rather than history integrals (see e.g. [6, 5]). It is important to realise that, contrary to first impressions, the introduction of internal variables does not enlarge the discrete system by creating many ‘new’ unknown functions. Each internal variable is actually associated with a decaying exponential term in a Prony series Volterra kernel. If the internal variables were not used then an alternative variable for each term would have to be introduced in order to carry the ‘history’ implied by the Volterra integral. For both types of scheme only a basic matrix inversion is required for the primary unknown function, and then simple updates to either the history or internal variables can be carried out.

For background to viscoelasticity and the assumptions we make we refer back to [12], and for more general background to the application of DG methods we refer to [9, 8, 3, 14, 10] and, in particular, to the elastic problem studied in [10].

This article is arranged as follows. We finish this section with some notation and then in Section 2 describe the model problem and the spatial discretisation. A fully discrete scheme with an *a priori* error estimate is given in Section 3, and we conclude with Section 4. Many of the proofs are long and technical and so, for brevity, we sometimes omit the details and refer instead to the technical report [13].

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 2, 3$, be an open bounded domain with polygonal/polyhedral boundary and let $I = (0, T)$ be a finite time interval. The following notation is standard. For $\omega \subseteq \bar{\Omega}$,

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})_\omega := \int_\omega \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \, d\omega,$$

but we drop the subscript when $\omega = \Omega$. We use $\|\cdot\|_{p,\omega}$ to denote the $\mathbf{H}^p(\omega) := (H^p(\omega))^d$ norm and again abbreviate, $\|\cdot\|_m = \|\cdot\|_{m,\Omega}$, when $\omega = \Omega$. Since we are dealing with time dependent functions we take the usual approach of treating these as maps from time into a Banach space and set,

$$\|v\|_{L_p(0,t;X)} := \left(\int_0^t \|v(t)\|_X^p \, dt \right)^{1/p},$$

for $t \leq T$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and with the obvious modification for $p = \infty$. When $t = T$ we abbreviate: $\|\cdot\|_{L_2(L_2)} := \|\cdot\|_{L_2(0,T;L_2(\Omega))}$ and so on.

We need also to deal with scalar- and tensor-valued functions and, to ease notation, we make no distinction with the inner products and norms in these cases.

2 Model problem and spatial discretisation

The basic equations are,

$$\rho \mathbf{u}_{tt}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times I, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \bar{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D \times \bar{I}, \quad (4)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \text{on } \Gamma_N \times \bar{I}. \quad (5)$$

In these $\Gamma_D \cup \Gamma_N = \partial\Omega$ and $\Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N = \emptyset$, and we assume that Γ_D is a closed set with positive surface measure. We do not explicitly display the \mathbf{x} dependence in most of what follows. Also, to ease notation, we denote partial time differentiation with either a subscript, as above, or a dot. Thus $\dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}_t$, $\ddot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}_{tt}$, and so on. We also assume that the boundary and initial data are compatible at $t = 0$.

The symmetric second-order stress tensor satisfies the constitutive relation,

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}(t)) = \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \gamma_i^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t),$$

where: $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) := (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})/2$; for $i = 1, \dots, N_\varphi$,

$$^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t) = \int_0^t \gamma_i e^{-(t-s)/\tau_i} \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}(s)) ds; \quad (6)$$

and, the fourth order Hooke's tensor, \mathbf{D} , satisfies the symmetries,

$$D_{ijkl} = D_{jikl} = D_{ijlk} = D_{klij},$$

and is positive definite over symmetric second order tensors. Also,

$$\gamma_i = \left(\frac{\varphi_i}{\tau_i} \right)^{1/2},$$

where the φ_i and τ_i are positive constants, and we impose the normalisation,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N_\varphi} \varphi_i = 1$$

with, additionally (see (35) later), $\varphi_0 > 0$ (note that we have a φ_0 but not a τ_0). Then it follows that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \gamma_i^2 \tau_i = 1 - \varphi_0 > 0. \quad (7)$$

From (6), we see that each of the internal stress tensors, ${}^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$, satisfies an initial value problem,

$${}^* \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_i(t) + \frac{1}{\tau_i} {}^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t) = \gamma_i \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}(t)), \quad (8)$$

$${}^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(0) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (9)$$

and on the other hand, if we eliminate the viscous stresses, our basic equation becomes a second-order hyperbolic partial differential equation with a fading memory Volterra integral. For the well-posedness of these types of equations we refer to [2], and for numerical analysis we cite, for example, [15, 7, 16, 4, 1]. All of these deal with the Volterra form of the problem whereas, here, we include the viscoelasticity through the evolution equations for the internal variables, (8). We are not aware of literature containing error estimates for this approach.

From our definitions we obtain the following regularity estimates.

Lemma 2.1 *For each $i = 1, \dots, N_\varphi$ we have,*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^n {}^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i}{\partial t^n} \right\|_{L_2(0,t;L_2(\Omega))} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\| \frac{\partial^j \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^j} \right\|_{L_2(0,t;H^1(\Omega))},$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. Taking norms in (6) and using Hölder's inequality for convolutions gives, $\|{}^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\|_{L_2(0,t;L_2(\Omega))} \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(0,t;H^1(\Omega))}$. Now use successive differentiation on (8) and recursively apply the estimates obtained. \square

We also have the following.

Lemma 2.2 *For each $i = 1, \dots, N_\varphi$ we have,*

$$\|{}^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t)\|_r \leq C \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{r+1}$$

for $r \geq 0$.

The first step towards spatial discretisation is to establish some more notation. Let $\mathcal{E}_h = \{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{N_h}\}$ be a nondegenerate quasiuniform subdivision of Ω , where E_j is a triangle if $d = 2$, or a tetrahedron if $d = 3$. The nondegeneracy requirement is that there exists $\rho > 0$ such that if $h_j = \text{diam}(E_j)$, then E_j contains a ball of radius ρh_j in its interior. Let $h = \max\{h_j : 1 \leq j \leq N_h\}$, the quasiuniformity requirement is that there exists $\tau > 0$ such that $h/h_j \leq \tau$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N_h\}$. We denote the set of interior edges (faces for $d = 3$) of \mathcal{E}_h by Γ_h . With each edge (or face) e , we associate a unit normal vector \mathbf{n}_e . For a boundary edge (or face), \mathbf{n}_e is taken to be the unit outward vector normal to $\partial\Omega$.

We now define the average and the jump operators. For each of the interior edges, e , suppose the neighbouring elements of e are E_e^1 and E_e^2 so that $e = \partial E_e^1 \cap \partial E_e^2$, and for a boundary edge suppose that E_e is the neighbouring element. We define the averaging operator $\{\cdot\}$ by,

$$\{\mathbf{w}\} := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}|_{E_e^1})|_e + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}|_{E_e^2})|_e & \text{if } e \subset \Omega, \\ (\mathbf{w}|_{E_e})|_e & \text{if } e \subset \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

and the jump operator $[\cdot]$ by,

$$[\mathbf{w}] := \begin{cases} (\mathbf{w}|_{E_e^1})|_e - (\mathbf{w}|_{E_e^2})|_e & \text{if } e \subset \Omega, \\ (\mathbf{w}|_{E_e})|_e & \text{if } e \subset \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The distinction between $[\cdot]$ and $-[\cdot]$ can be made because each edge e has a unit normal associated with it. The ‘‘direction’’ in which the jump takes place is unimportant.

These operators are well defined if $\mathbf{w}|_{E_e^i} \in (H^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}(E_e^i))^d$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Below, we use $|e|$ to denote the $(d-1)$ -dimensional surface measure of the edge/face e . We also frequently use the estimate, $|e| \leq Ch^{d-1}$ which arises as a consequence of our assumptions.

Define the broken spaces for any integer $r \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h) = \{v \in L_2(\Omega) : v|_E \in \mathcal{P}_r(E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{E}_h\}, \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h) = \mathcal{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h)^d, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_r(\mathcal{E}_h) = \mathcal{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h)^{d \times d}. \quad (12)$$

For these finite element spaces we have the following interpolation-error estimates. If $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^n(\mathcal{E}_h) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})^d$ and $\mu = \min\{r+1, n\}$ then there is an interpolant $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})^d$ such that for each $E \in \mathcal{E}_h$,

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{v}}\|_{m,E} \leq Ch_E^{\mu-m} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{n,E} \quad \text{for } n \geq m \geq 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{v}}\|_{m,\gamma} \leq Ch_E^{\mu-m-1/2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{n,E} \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1 \text{ and } n \geq m, \quad (14)$$

where $\gamma \subseteq \partial E$.

For positive constants, δ and β , define the bilinear forms,

$$J_0^{\delta,\beta}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \frac{\delta}{|e|^\beta} \int_e [\mathbf{w}] \cdot [\mathbf{v}], \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) &= \sum_E \int_E \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) - \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \{\mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w})\mathbf{n}_e\} \cdot [\mathbf{v}] \\ &\quad + \kappa \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \{\mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{n}_e\} \cdot [\mathbf{w}] + J_0^{\delta,\beta}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here κ is a switch: we set $\kappa = 1$ to obtain the non-symmetric DG scheme, and $\kappa = -1$ to obtain the symmetric scheme.

Defining $\mathbf{z}(t) := \mathbf{u}_t(t)$, we first note that if $\mathbf{z}(t), \mathbf{u}(t) \in C(\bar{\Omega})^d$ for each t , then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\rho \dot{\mathbf{z}}(t), \mathbf{v}) + A(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}) + J_0^{\delta, \beta}(\mathbf{z}(t), \mathbf{v}) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \gamma_i \{ {}^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t) \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot [\mathbf{v}] - \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \int_E \gamma_i {}^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \\ & = L(t; \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$L(t; \mathbf{v}) := (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{v}) + (\mathbf{g}(t), \mathbf{v})_{\Gamma_N},$$

and, for each $i = 1, \dots, N_\varphi$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_E ({}^* \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(t) + \frac{1}{\tau_i} {}^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t), \mathbf{w}_i)_E = \sum_E \gamma_i (\mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}(t)), \mathbf{w}_i)_E \\ & - \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \gamma_i \int_e \{ \mathbf{D}\mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot [\mathbf{u}(t)] \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_i \in \mathbf{L}_{r-1}(\mathcal{E}_h), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and,

$$(\rho \mathbf{z}(t), \mathbf{v})_E = (\rho \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t), \mathbf{v})_E \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h). \quad (19)$$

Equations (17) and (18) arise from elementwise integration by parts, see [12], and ‘adding zero’.

We will also use the following norm and semi-norm,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{A}} & := \left(|\mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 + J_0^{\delta, \beta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ |\mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{E}} & := \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

3 Fully discrete estimates

Let us define $k = T/N$ for some positive integer N and set $t_j = jk$. Setting,

$$L_j(\mathbf{v}) := \frac{1}{2} (L(t_j; \mathbf{v}) + L(t_{j-1}; \mathbf{v})),$$

our fully discrete approximation of the problem described by (17), (18) and (19) is as follows: for each $j = 1, \dots, N$, find $\{\mathbf{z}_j^h, \mathbf{u}_j^h, \dots, {}^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}^h, \dots\} \in$

$\mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h) \times \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h) \times \mathbf{L}_{r-1}(\mathcal{E}_h)^{N_\varphi}$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\rho \frac{\mathbf{z}_j^h - \mathbf{z}_{j-1}^h}{k}, \mathbf{v} \right) + A \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_j^h + \mathbf{u}_{j-1}^h}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right) + J_0^{\delta, \beta} \left(\frac{\mathbf{z}_j^h + \mathbf{z}_{j-1}^h}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \gamma_i \left\{ \frac{*\sigma_{ij}^h + *\sigma_{i,j-1}^h}{2} \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot [\mathbf{v}] \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \int_E \gamma_i \frac{*\sigma_{ij}^h + *\sigma_{i,j-1}^h}{2} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) = L_j(\mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

with, for each $i = 1, \dots, N_\varphi$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_E \left(\frac{*\sigma_{ij}^h - *\sigma_{i,j-1}^h}{k} + \frac{1}{\tau_i} \frac{*\sigma_{ij}^h + *\sigma_{i,j-1}^h}{2}, \mathbf{w}_i \right)_E \\ & = \sum_E \gamma_i \left(\mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_j^h + \mathbf{u}_{j-1}^h}{2} \right), \mathbf{w}_i \right)_E \\ & - \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \gamma_i \int_e \{ \mathbf{D}\mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot \left[\frac{\mathbf{u}_j^h + \mathbf{u}_{j-1}^h}{2} \right] \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_i \in \mathbf{L}_{r-1}(\mathcal{E}_h), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and,

$$\left(\rho \frac{\mathbf{z}_j^h + \mathbf{z}_{j-1}^h}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right)_E = \left(\rho \frac{\mathbf{u}_j^h - \mathbf{u}_{j-1}^h}{k}, \mathbf{v} \right)_E \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h). \quad (22)$$

It follows from this last equation that,

$$\frac{\mathbf{z}_j^h + \mathbf{z}_{j-1}^h}{2} = \frac{\mathbf{u}_j^h - \mathbf{u}_{j-1}^h}{k}. \quad (23)$$

For the initial data we set $*\sigma_{i0}^h = \mathbf{0}$, for $i = 1, \dots, N_\varphi$, and,

$$A(\mathbf{u}_0^h, \mathbf{v}) = A(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h), \quad (24)$$

$$(\rho \mathbf{z}_0^h, \mathbf{v}) = (\rho \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h). \quad (25)$$

From [13] we now quote a stability estimate.

Theorem 3.1 (discrete stability) *Assume that $\beta \geq (d-1)^{-1}$ along with $k \leq \hat{k}$ and $h \leq \hat{h}$. Then, for δ large enough, \hat{k} and \hat{h} small enough, and*

$m = 1, 2, \dots, N,$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{z}_m^h\|_0^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_m^h\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} * \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{im}^h\|_0^2 \\
& + k \sum_{j=1}^m J_0^{\delta, \beta} \left(\frac{\mathbf{z}_j^h + \mathbf{z}_{j-1}^h}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{z}_j^h + \mathbf{z}_{j-1}^h}{2} \right) \\
& + k \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}^h - * \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i,j-1}^h}{k} \right) \right\|_0^2 \\
& \leq C \|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\mathbf{z}}\|_0^2 + C \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_2^2 + Ch^{-1} \|\mathbf{g}(0)\|_{0, \Gamma_N}^2 + Ch^{-1} \|\mathbf{g}(t_m)\|_{0, \Gamma_N}^2 \\
& + Ch^{-1} k \sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \frac{\mathbf{g}(t_j) - \mathbf{g}(t_{j-1})}{k} \right\|_{0, \Gamma_N}^2 + Ck \sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \frac{\mathbf{f}(t_j) + \mathbf{f}(t_{j-1})}{2} \right\|_0^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where C represents a generic positive constant.

Note that the factor ' h^{-1} ' is not observed in practical computations; its presence seems to be due only to a weakness in the proof. However, recalling that uniqueness implies existence for linear finite dimensional problems, Theorem 3.1 allows us to assert the existence and uniqueness of the discrete solution.

Theorem 3.2 (well-posedness) *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, the discrete solution exists and is unique.*

Our next goal is a fully discrete error estimate and the first step toward this is to derive an error equation. For this we set,

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\chi}_j &:= \mathbf{u}_j^h - \check{\mathbf{u}}(t_j), & \boldsymbol{\psi}_j &:= \mathbf{z}_j^h - \check{\mathbf{z}}(t_j), & \boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} &:= * \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}^h - * \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_i(t_j), \\
\boldsymbol{\xi}_j &:= \mathbf{u}(t_j) - \check{\mathbf{u}}(t_j), & \boldsymbol{\phi}_j &:= \mathbf{z}(t_j) - \check{\mathbf{z}}(t_j), & \boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} &:= * \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t_j) - * \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_i(t_j),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\check{\mathbf{u}}(t), * \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_1(t), \dots\} \subset \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h)$ for each t and with $\mathbf{z} := \mathbf{u}_t$ and $\check{\mathbf{z}} := \check{\mathbf{u}}_t$.

We choose $\check{\mathbf{u}}(t) \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h)$ as the continuous interpolant of $\mathbf{u}(t)$ and $* \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_i$ as the $L_2(\Omega)$ projection of $* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$ into $\mathbf{L}_{r-1}(\mathcal{E}_h)$. We then have, $\check{\mathbf{z}}(t) = \check{\mathbf{u}}_t(t) \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h)$ and if $\mathbf{u}(t_j), \mathbf{u}_t(t_j) \in C(\bar{\Omega})^d$ it follows that,

$$[\mathbf{u}(t_j)] = \mathbf{0}, \quad [\check{\mathbf{u}}(t_j)] = \mathbf{0}, \quad [\boldsymbol{\xi}_j] = \mathbf{0}, \quad (26)$$

and

$$[\mathbf{u}_t(t_j)] = \mathbf{0}, \quad [\check{\mathbf{u}}_t(t_j)] = \mathbf{0}, \quad [\boldsymbol{\phi}_j] = \mathbf{0}. \quad (27)$$

Moreover,

$$(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i, \mathbf{w}_i) = (\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i, \mathbf{w}_i) = (\ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i, \mathbf{w}_i) = \dots = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_i \in \mathbf{L}_{r-1}(\mathcal{E}_h), \quad (28)$$

and from standard arguments we also have,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^n \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_i}{\partial t^n} \right\|_0 \leq \left\| \frac{\partial^n \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t^n} \right\|_0.$$

Furthermore, from (13) we have,

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij}\|_0 = \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t_j) - \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_i(t_j)\|_0 \leq Ch^r \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t_j)\|_r.$$

Now, motivated by the terms that arise below, define,

$$\Delta_j \mathbf{v} := \frac{\mathbf{v}_t(t_j) + \mathbf{v}_t(t_{j-1})}{2} - \frac{\mathbf{v}(t_j) - \mathbf{v}(t_{j-1})}{k}.$$

Then by standard estimates for the trapezoidal quadrature rule and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have the following result.

Lemma 3.3 *We have,*

$$\Delta_j \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2k} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \mathbf{v}_{ttt}(t)(t_j - t)(t - t_{j-1}).$$

Moreover, if $\mathbf{v}_{ttt} \in L_2((t_{j-1}, t_j); \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))$, then,

$$\|\Delta_j \mathbf{v}\|_0^2 \leq \frac{k^3}{4} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \|\mathbf{v}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2.$$

We will also make use of the following ‘summation by parts’ identity,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (\boldsymbol{\psi}_j - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}, \mathbf{p}_j) = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \mathbf{p}_m) - (\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \mathbf{p}_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (\boldsymbol{\psi}_j, \mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_{j+1}), \quad (29)$$

and we also need the following estimate which is proven by using Taylor’s series with integral remainder.

Lemma 3.4 *We have,*

$$\Delta_j \mathbf{v} - \Delta_{j+1} \mathbf{v} = k \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}(t_{j+1}) - 2\mathbf{v}(t_j) + \mathbf{v}(t_{j-1}))}{k^2} - \frac{\mathbf{v}_t(t_{j+1}) - \mathbf{v}_t(t_{j-1})}{2k} \right).$$

Moreover, if, a.e. in Ω , we have $\mathbf{v}_{tttt} \in L_2(t_{j-1}, t_{j+1})$, then,

$$|\Delta_j \mathbf{v} - \Delta_{j+1} \mathbf{v}|^2 \leq Ck^5 \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_{j+1}} |\mathbf{v}_{tttt}(t)|^2.$$

Averaging (17), (18) and (19) between t_j and t_{j-1} , and subtracting the result from the fully discrete scheme given by (20), (21) and (22) then gives three error equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\rho \frac{\psi_j - \psi_{j-1}}{k}, \mathbf{v} \right) + A \left(\frac{\chi_j + \chi_{j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right) + J_0^{\delta, \beta} \left(\frac{\psi_j + \psi_{j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \gamma_i \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot [\mathbf{v}] \\
 & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \int_E \gamma_i \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{2} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \\
 & = (\rho \Delta_j \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) + \left(\rho \frac{\phi_j - \phi_{j-1}}{k}, \mathbf{v} \right) + A \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right) \\
 & + J_0^{\delta, \beta} \left(\frac{\phi_j + \phi_{j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \gamma_i \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot [\mathbf{v}] \\
 & - \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \int_E \gamma_i \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h), \quad (30)
 \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} + \frac{1}{\tau_i} \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{w}_i \right)_E \\
 & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \gamma_i \left(\mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\chi_j + \chi_{j-1}}{2} \right), \mathbf{w}_i \right)_E \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \gamma_i \int_e \{ \mathbf{D}\mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot \left[\frac{\chi_j + \chi_{j-1}}{2} \right] \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E (\Delta_j^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i, \mathbf{w}_i)_E + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{k} + \frac{1}{\tau_i} \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{w}_i \right)_E \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \gamma_i \int_e \{ \mathbf{D}\mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right] \\
 & - \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \gamma_i \left(\mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right), \mathbf{w}_i \right)_E \quad \forall \{ \mathbf{w}_i \} \in \{ \mathbf{L}_{r-1}(\mathcal{E}_h) \}, \quad (31)
 \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\rho \frac{\psi_j + \psi_{j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right)_E - \left(\rho \frac{\chi_j - \chi_{j-1}}{k}, \mathbf{v} \right)_E = -(\rho \Delta_j \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_E \\ & + \left(\rho \frac{\phi_j + \phi_{j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right)_E - \left(\rho \frac{\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}}{k}, \mathbf{v} \right)_E \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}_r(\mathcal{E}_h). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Now, choosing $\mathbf{v} = (\chi_j - \chi_{j-1})/k$ in (30), $\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1})/k$ in (31) and $\mathbf{v} = (\psi_j - \psi_{j-1})/k$ in (32), adding the first two resulting equations together and noting from the third that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\rho \frac{\psi_j - \psi_{j-1}}{k}, \frac{\chi_j - \chi_{j-1}}{k} \right) = \left(\rho \frac{\psi_j + \psi_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\psi_j - \psi_{j-1}}{k} \right) \\ & - \left(\rho \frac{\phi_j + \phi_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\psi_j - \psi_{j-1}}{k} \right) + \left(\rho \frac{\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}}{k}, \frac{\psi_j - \psi_{j-1}}{k} \right) \\ & + \left(\rho \Delta_j \mathbf{u}, \frac{\psi_j - \psi_{j-1}}{k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we multiply by $2k$ and sum over $j = 1, \dots, m$ to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_m\|_0^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m J_0^{\delta, \beta} \left(\frac{\psi_j + \psi_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\psi_j + \psi_{j-1}}{2} \right) \\ & + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \left\| \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right) \right\|_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{im}\|_0^2 \\ & = \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_0\|_0^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_0\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i0}\|_0^2 \\ & + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m G_j \left(\frac{\chi_j - \chi_{j-1}}{k} \right) + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m H_j \left(\mathbf{D}^{-1} \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right) \\ & + T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5 + T_6, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_1 &:= -2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \gamma_i \int_e \left(\left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j + \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{2} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right] \right), \\
 T_2 &:= 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \gamma_i \int_E \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j + \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{2} \right) : \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) : \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \right), \\
 T_3 &:= 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \left(\left\{ \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j + \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{2} \right) \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \kappa \left\{ \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j + \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{2} \right] \right), \\
 T_4 &:= -2k \sum_{j=1}^m J_0^{\delta, \beta} \left(\Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2} \right), \\
 T_5 &:= -2k \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\rho \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{k}, \Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}} \right), \\
 T_6 &:= 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\rho \Delta_j \mathbf{z}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) \\
 &\quad + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \left(\Delta_j^* \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i, \mathbf{D}^{-1} \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right)_E,
 \end{aligned}$$

along with,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_j(\mathbf{v}) &:= J_0^{\delta, \beta} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}_j + \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right) + \left(\rho \frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}_j - \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j-1}}{k}, \mathbf{v} \right) \\
 &\quad + A \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \int_E \gamma_i \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \gamma_i \int_e \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot [\mathbf{v}],
 \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_j(\mathbf{v}) &:= \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \gamma_i \int_e \{D\mathbf{v}n_e\} \cdot \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right] \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \gamma_i \left(D\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right), \mathbf{v} \right)_E \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{k} + \frac{1}{\tau_i} \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2}, \mathbf{v} \right)_E.
 \end{aligned}$$

To get these we noted first that,

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} = -\Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}}, \quad (34)$$

because $(\mathbf{z}_j^h + \mathbf{z}_{j-1}^h)/2 = (\mathbf{u}_j^h - \mathbf{u}_{j-1}^h)/k$, and secondly that,

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}_j + \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j-1}}{2} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{k} = \Delta_j \mathbf{u} - \Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}}.$$

We can now start estimating the terms on the right of (33) with the goal of deriving an *a priori* error estimate for the scheme. To make the proof of this error estimate easier to digest, the initial estimates are now presented in a series of lemmas. These lemmas are all subject to the assumptions made later in Theorem 3.10.

Lemma 3.5 *We have,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_4 + T_5 + T_6| &\leq 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{\epsilon'_6}{2} \left\| D^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right) \right\|_0^2 \\
 &+ \frac{k}{\epsilon_6} \sum_{j=0}^m \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j\|_0^2 + \epsilon_5 \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_m\|_0^2 + \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_0\|_0^2 + k \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j\|_0^2 \\
 &+ Ck^3 \int_0^{t_1} \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2 + \frac{Ck^3}{\epsilon_5} \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2 + Ck^4 \int_0^{t_m} \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{tttt}(t)\|_0^2 \\
 &+ Ck^4 \int_0^{t_m} \left((\epsilon_6 + 1) \|\mathbf{z}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2 + \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon'_6} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \|\check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_i(t)\|_0^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Firstly, $T_4 = 0$ by (26) and (27). Now, using (29), we can write T_5 as,

$$T_5 = -2(\rho \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta_m \check{\mathbf{u}}) + 2(\rho \boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \Delta_1 \check{\mathbf{u}}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (\rho \boldsymbol{\psi}_j, \Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}} - \Delta_{j+1} \check{\mathbf{u}}).$$

From this we estimate with the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequalities as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_5| &\leq \epsilon_5 \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_m\|_0^2 + \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_0\|_0^2 + k \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j\|_0^2 \\ &+ \frac{C(\rho)}{\epsilon_5} \|\Delta_m \check{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2 + C(\rho) \|\Delta_1 \check{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2 + \frac{C(\rho)}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}} - \Delta_{j+1} \check{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.3 results in,

$$\frac{C(\rho)}{\epsilon_5} \|\Delta_m \check{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2 \leq \frac{Ck^3}{\epsilon_5} \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2,$$

and

$$C(\rho) \|\Delta_1 \check{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2 \leq Ck^3 \int_0^{t_1} \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2,$$

while Lemma 3.4 leads to,

$$\frac{C(\rho)}{k} \|\Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}} - \Delta_{j+1} \check{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2 \leq Ck^4 \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_{j+1}} \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{tttt}(t)\|_0^2.$$

For T_6 we have by the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequalities, Lemma 3.3 and the triangle inequality with (34), that,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_6| &\leq \frac{k}{\epsilon_6} \sum_{j=0}^m \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j\|_0^2 + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{\epsilon'_6}{2} \left\| \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right) \right\|_0^2 \\ &+ Ck^4 \int_0^{t_m} \left((\epsilon_6 + 1) \|\mathbf{z}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2 + \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon'_6} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \|\check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_i(t)\|_0^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

These estimates complete the proof. \square

The terms T_1 , T_2 and T_3 can be handled in much the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (see [13]), although some modifications are necessary. For brevity, we omit the proof and refer to [13].

Lemma 3.6 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |T_1 + T_2 + T_3| \leq 2Ch^{(d-1)\beta/2-1/2} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_0\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 \\
 & + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{\hat{\epsilon}_i}{2} \left\| \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right) \right\|_0^2 \\
 & + \frac{2Ck}{\check{\epsilon}} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}\|_0^2 \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{im}\|_0^2 + \frac{1-\varphi_0}{\bar{\epsilon}} |\boldsymbol{\chi}_m|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 + \frac{2C^2 h^{(d-1)\beta-1}}{\epsilon''} J_0^{1,\beta}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_m, \boldsymbol{\chi}_m) \\
 & + Ck \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\epsilon'} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_j\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + \frac{\epsilon''}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + Ck \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{\gamma_i^2 h^{(d-1)\beta-1}}{\hat{\epsilon}_i} J_0^{1,\beta}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_j, \boldsymbol{\chi}_j) \\
 & + 2h^{(d-1)\beta-1} k (2\check{\epsilon}(1-\varphi_0) + \epsilon') \sum_{j=1}^m J_0^{\delta,\beta} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.7 *Assuming that $h \leq \hat{h}$, $\beta \geq (d-1)^{-1}$, $|e| \leq Ch^{d-1}$ and $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{0,e} \leq Ch^{-1/2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{0,E}$ if e is an edge of E , we have,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| 2k \sum_{j=1}^m H_j \left(\mathbf{D}^{-1} \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right) \right| \\
 & \leq 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \epsilon'_H \left\| \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right) \right\|_0^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon'_H} \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (28) we have,

$$H_j \left(\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right) = T_1 + T_2$$

where,

$$T_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \gamma_i \int_e \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right],$$

and

$$T_2 := - \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_E \gamma_i \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right), \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right)_E.$$

Now, $T_1 = 0$ due to (26), and for T_2 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_2| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \gamma_i \left\| \mathbf{D}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right) \right\|_0 \left\| \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right\|_0, \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \epsilon'_H \left\| \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right\|_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{\gamma_i^2}{4\epsilon'_H} \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right|_{\mathcal{E}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.8 *We have,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| 2k \sum_{j=1}^m G_j \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) \right| \leq 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2} \|\rho^{1/2} \Delta_j \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2 \\ &+ 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1 + \epsilon''_G}{2} \left\| \rho^{1/2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}_j - \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j-1}}{k} \right\|_0^2 + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} C \epsilon'_G \left\| \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \right\|_0^2 \\ &+ \frac{k}{\epsilon'_G} \sum_{j=1}^m J_0^{\delta,\beta} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2} \right) + 2k \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{2\epsilon''_G} \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j\|_0^2 \\ &+ Ch^{2r} \left((1 + \epsilon'''_G) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,t_m; \mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(0,t_m; \mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_0\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon'''_G} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_j\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all positive ϵ'_G , ϵ''_G and ϵ'''_G .

Proof. Using (28) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} G_j \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) &= J_0^{\delta,\beta} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}_j + \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) \\ &+ \left(\rho \frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}_j - \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j-1}}{k}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \gamma_i \int_e \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right] \\ &+ A \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) \\ &= T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4, \end{aligned}$$

but, firstly, $T_1 = 0$ by (27). Secondly, for T_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_2| &\leq \left| \left(\rho \frac{\phi_j - \phi_{j-1}}{k}, \frac{\psi_j + \psi_{j-1}}{2} \right) \right| + \left| \left(\rho \frac{\phi_j - \phi_{j-1}}{k}, \Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}} \right) \right|, \\
 &\leq \left\| \rho^{1/2} \frac{\phi_j - \phi_{j-1}}{k} \right\|_0 \left(\left\| \rho^{1/2} \frac{\psi_j + \psi_{j-1}}{2} \right\|_0 + \|\rho^{1/2} \Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}}\|_0 \right), \\
 &\leq \frac{\epsilon_G'' + 1}{2} \left\| \rho^{1/2} \frac{\phi_j - \phi_{j-1}}{k} \right\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\rho^{1/2} \Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_G''} \left(\|\rho^{1/2} \psi_j\|_0^2 + \|\rho^{1/2} \psi_{j-1}\|_0^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, for T_3 we use (34) and (26) and get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_3| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \gamma_i \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \left\| \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \right\} \right\|_{0,e} \left\| \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2} \right] \right\|_{0,e}, \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \gamma_i \left(\sum_e \left(\frac{|e|^\beta}{\delta} \left\| \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \right\} \right\|_{0,e}^2 \right) \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\sum_e \left(\frac{\delta}{|e|^\beta} \left\| \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2} \right] \right\|_{0,e}^2 \right) \right)^{1/2}, \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} C \gamma_i h^{(d-1)\beta/2-1/2} \left\| \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \right\|_0 \\
 &\quad \times J_0^{\delta,\beta} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2} \right)^{1/2}, \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} C \epsilon_G' \left\| \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \right\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon_G'} J_0^{\delta,\beta} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Turning to T_4 and noting (26) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) &= \sum_E \int_E \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \left\{ \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right) \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum over j as needed by the lemma we use a variant of (29)

and get for the first term that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_E \int_E \mathbf{D}\varepsilon \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right) : \varepsilon \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right) \\
 &= - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_E \int_E \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j+1} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}) : \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\chi}_j) \\
 &+ \sum_E \int_E \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_m + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{m-1}) : \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\chi}_m) - \sum_E \int_E \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) : \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\chi}_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \sum_E \int_E \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_m + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{m-1}) : \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\chi}_m) \right| \\
 & \leq \epsilon_G''' C \|\boldsymbol{\xi}_m + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{m-1}\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon_G'''} |\boldsymbol{\chi}_m|_{\mathcal{E}}^2, \\
 & \leq \epsilon_G''' C h^{2r} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,t_m; \mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon_G'''} |\boldsymbol{\chi}_m|_{\mathcal{E}}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

because,

$$\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_m + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{m-1}\|_{1,\Omega} \leq Ch^r \|\mathbf{u}(t_m) + \mathbf{u}(t_{m-1})\|_{r+1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\left| \sum_E \int_E \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) : \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\chi}_0) \right| \leq Ch^{2r} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,t_m; \mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{\chi}_0|_{\mathcal{E}}^2.$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_E \int_E \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j+1} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}) : \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\chi}_j) \right| \leq Ck \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j+1} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{k} \right\|_1 |\boldsymbol{\chi}_j|_{\mathcal{E}}, \\
 & \leq Ch^{2r} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2(0,t_m; \mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} |\boldsymbol{\chi}_j|_{\mathcal{E}}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j+1} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{k} \right\|_1 &= \left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}(t_{j+1}) - \mathbf{u}(t_{j-1})}{k} - \frac{\check{\mathbf{u}}(t_{j+1}) - \check{\mathbf{u}}(t_{j-1})}{k} \right\|_1, \\
 &\leq Ch^r \left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}(t_{j+1}) - \mathbf{u}(t_{j-1})}{k} \right\|_{r+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

and (by the fundamental theorem of calculus),

$$k \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}(t_{j+1}) - \mathbf{u}(t_{j-1})}{k} \right\|_{r+1} \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(0,t_m; \mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}.$$

For the second term in T_4 we proceed similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} & -2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \left\{ \mathbf{D}\varepsilon \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right) \mathbf{n}_e \right\} \cdot \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}_j - \boldsymbol{\chi}_{j-1}}{k} \right] \\ & = - \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \{ \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_m + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{m-1}) \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot [\boldsymbol{\chi}_m] \\ & \quad + \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \{ \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot [\boldsymbol{\chi}_0] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{e \in \Gamma_h \cup \Gamma_D} \int_e \{ \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j+1} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}) \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot [\boldsymbol{\chi}_j]. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_e \int_e \{ \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_m + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{m-1}) \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot [\boldsymbol{\chi}_m] \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon_G''' Ch^{(d-1)\beta}}{2\delta} \sum_e \|\mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_m + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{m-1}) \mathbf{n}_e\|_{0,e}^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon_G'''} J_0^{\delta,\beta}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_m, \boldsymbol{\chi}_m), \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon_G''' Ch^{2r}}{2\delta} \|\mathbf{u}(t_m) + \mathbf{u}(t_{m-1})\|_{r+1}^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon_G'''} J_0^{\delta,\beta}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_m, \boldsymbol{\chi}_m), \end{aligned}$$

since $(d-1)\beta - 1 \geq 0$ and,

$$\|\mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_m + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{m-1}) \mathbf{n}_e\|_{0,e} \leq Ch^{r-1/2} \|\mathbf{u}(t_m) + \mathbf{u}(t_{m-1})\|_{r+1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_e \int_e \{ \mathbf{D}\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot [\boldsymbol{\chi}_0] \right| \\ & \leq \frac{Ch^{2r}}{2\delta} \|\mathbf{u}(t_1) + \mathbf{u}(t_0)\|_{r+1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} J_0^{\delta,\beta}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_0, \boldsymbol{\chi}_0). \end{aligned}$$

We also have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_e \int_e \{ \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j+1} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}) \mathbf{n}_e \} \cdot [\boldsymbol{\chi}_j] \right| \\
& \leq k \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_e \left\| \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}(t_{j+1}) - \mathbf{u}(t_{j-1})}{k} - \frac{\check{\mathbf{u}}(t_{j+1}) - \check{\mathbf{u}}(t_{j-1})}{k} \right) \mathbf{n}_e \right\|_{0,e} \\
& \quad \times \| [\boldsymbol{\chi}_j] \|_{0,e}, \\
& \leq k \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{Ch^{2r-1+(d-1)\beta}}{2\delta} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}(t_{j+1}) - \mathbf{u}(t_{j-1})}{k} \right\|_{r+1}^2 + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} J_0^{\delta,\beta}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_j, \boldsymbol{\chi}_j), \\
& \leq \frac{Ch^{2r}}{\delta} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} J_0^{\delta,\beta}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_j, \boldsymbol{\chi}_j),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}(t_{j+1}) - \mathbf{u}(t_{j-1})}{k} - \frac{\check{\mathbf{u}}(t_{j+1}) - \check{\mathbf{u}}(t_{j-1})}{k} \right) \mathbf{n}_e \right\|_{0,e} \\
& \leq Ch^{r-1/2} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}(t_{j+1}) - \mathbf{u}(t_{j-1})}{k} \right\|_{r+1,E}.
\end{aligned}$$

Assembling these estimates then yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| 2k \sum_{j=1}^m T_4 \right\| & \leq Ch^{2r} \left((1 + \epsilon_G''') \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_\infty(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_0\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon_G'''} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_j\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Before stating the error estimate we need one more estimate—connected with a term in the previous lemma.

Lemma 3.9 *We have,*

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1 + \epsilon_G''}{2} \left\| \rho^{1/2} \frac{\phi_j - \phi_{j-1}}{k} \right\|_0^2 \\
& \leq Ch^{2r} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_\infty(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 + C(1 + \epsilon_G'') k^4 \|\mathbf{u}_{tttt}\|_{L_2(0,t_m;L_2(\Omega))}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the triangle and Young's inequalities we have,

$$\begin{aligned} 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1 + \epsilon_G''}{2} \left\| \rho^{1/2} \frac{\phi_j - \phi_{j-1}}{k} \right\|_0^2 &\leq 2k \sum_{j=1}^m (1 + \epsilon_G'') \|\rho^{1/2} \phi_t(t_{j-1/2})\|_0^2 \\ &\quad + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m (1 + \epsilon_G'') \left\| \rho^{1/2} \left(\phi_t(t_{j-1/2}) - \frac{\phi_j - \phi_{j-1}}{k} \right) \right\|_0^2, \\ &\leq Ct_m h^{2r} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^\infty(0, t_m; \mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 + Ck^4 (1 + \epsilon_G'') \|\phi_{ttt}\|_{L_2(0, t_m; \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now use $\|\phi_{ttt}\|_{L_2(0, t_m; \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))} \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_{tttt}\|_{L_2(0, t_m; \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))}$. \square

Now we can give the error estimate.

Theorem 3.10 (fully discrete ‘energy’ error estimate) *Assume that we have $h \leq \hat{h}$, $k \leq \hat{k}$, $\beta \geq (d-1)^{-1}$, $\bar{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega)$, $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbf{H}^r(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{u} \in H^4(\mathbf{L}_2) \cap H^2(\mathbf{H}^1) \cap W_\infty^1(\mathbf{H}^{r+1}) \cap C^1(C(\bar{\Omega})^d)$. Then, for \hat{h} and \hat{k} small enough, and δ large enough,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\rho^{1/2}(\mathbf{u}_t(t_m) - \mathbf{z}_m^h)\|_0 + \|\mathbf{u}(t_m) - \mathbf{u}_m^h\|_{\mathcal{A}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}(*\sigma_i(t_m) - *\sigma_{im}^h)\|_0 \leq C(h^r + k^2), \end{aligned}$$

where C is a ‘Gronwall’ constant independent of h and k .

Proof. We start with (33) and invoke Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8 and 3.9

to get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(1 - \epsilon_5 - \frac{k}{\epsilon_G''} - \frac{k}{\epsilon_6}\right) \|\rho^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_m\|_0^2 \\
 & + \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon''}{2} - \frac{1}{2\epsilon_G'''} - \frac{Ck}{\epsilon'}\right) \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 \\
 - & \left(\frac{1 - \varphi_0}{\bar{\epsilon}} |\boldsymbol{\chi}_m|_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}}^2 + \hat{h}^{(d-1)\beta-1} \left(\frac{2C^2}{\epsilon''} + Ck \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{\gamma_i^2}{\hat{\epsilon}_i}\right) J_0^{1,\beta}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_m, \boldsymbol{\chi}_m)\right) \\
 & + 2k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\epsilon_G'} - \hat{h}^{(d-1)\beta-1} (2\bar{\epsilon}(1 - \varphi_0) + \epsilon')\right) \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{j=1}^m J_0^{\delta,\beta} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2}\right) \\
 & + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}_i}{2} - \epsilon_H' - \frac{\epsilon_6'}{2}\right) \left\| \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k}\right) \right\|_0^2 \\
 & \quad + \left(1 - \bar{\epsilon} - \frac{2Ck}{\bar{\epsilon}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{im}\|_0^2 \\
 & \leq T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5,
 \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_1 &:= 2\|\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\psi}_0\|_0^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} + 2C\hat{h}^{\frac{(d-1)\beta-1}{2}}\right)\|\boldsymbol{\chi}_0\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i0}\|_0^2, \\
 T_2 &:= Ck^4 \int_0^{t_m} \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{tttt}(t)\|_0^2 + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2} \|\rho^{1/2} \Delta_j \check{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2, \\
 &\quad + Ck^3 \int_0^{t_1} \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2 + \frac{Ck^3}{\epsilon_5} \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2 \\
 &\quad + Ck^4 \int_0^{t_m} \left((1 + \epsilon_6) \|\mathbf{z}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2 + \|\check{\mathbf{u}}_{ttt}(t)\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon_6} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \|\mathbf{*}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_i(t)\|_0^2 \right) \\
 T_3 &:= 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1 + \epsilon_G''}{2} \left\| \rho^{1/2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}_j - \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j-1}}{k} \right\|_0^2, \\
 T_4 &:= 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{C}{\epsilon_H'} \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j-1}}{2} \right|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 + 2k \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} C\epsilon_G' \left\| \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i,j-1}}{2} \right\|_0^2 \\
 &\quad + Ch^{2r} \left((1 + \epsilon_G''') \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_\infty(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 \right), \\
 T_5 &:= \frac{2Ck}{\bar{\epsilon}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}\|_0^2 + Ck \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon'} \right) \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_j\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 \\
 &\quad + Ck \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{\gamma_i^2 \hat{h}^{(d-1)\beta-1}}{\delta \hat{\epsilon}_i} J_0^{\delta,\beta}(\boldsymbol{\chi}_j, \boldsymbol{\chi}_j) \\
 &\quad + k \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon_G''} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_6} \right) \|\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\psi}_j\|_0^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now choose,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \epsilon'' &= \frac{\varphi_0/4}{1 - \varphi_0/2}, & \epsilon' &= \varphi_0, & \hat{\epsilon}_i &= 1, & \check{\epsilon} &= \frac{1}{4}, & \epsilon_G''' &= \frac{2 - \varphi_0}{\varphi_0}, \\
 \bar{\epsilon} &= 1 - \frac{\varphi_0}{2}, & \epsilon_5 &= \frac{1}{2}, & \epsilon_G'' &= \epsilon_6 = \frac{2}{C} & \text{for some } C > 0, \\
 \epsilon_G' &= \frac{1}{1 - \varphi_0}, & \epsilon_H' &= \frac{1}{6}, & \epsilon_6' &= \frac{1}{3},
 \end{aligned}$$

and insist that,

$$\delta \geq \frac{4C^2(2 - \varphi_0)^2 \hat{h}^{(d-1)\beta-1}}{(2 - 2\varphi_0)\varphi_0}. \quad (35)$$

These lead to,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{1}{2} - C\hat{k} \right) \|\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\psi}_m\|_0^2 + \left(\frac{\varphi_0}{8-4\varphi_0} - C\hat{k} \right) \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 \\
 & + k(1+\varphi_0)(1-\hat{h}^{(d-1)\beta-1}) \sum_{j=1}^m J_0^{\delta,\beta} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j-1}}{2} \right) \\
 & + \frac{k}{3} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \left\| \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,j-1}}{k} \right) \right\|_0^2 \\
 & + \left(\frac{\varphi_0}{2} - C\hat{k} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{im}\|_0^2 \\
 & \leq T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, for T_2 , using Lemmas 2.1, 3.4 and stability properties of the interpolant,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_2| & \leq Ck^4 \left(\|\mathbf{u}_{ttt}\|_{L_\infty(0,t_m;\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^2(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \|\mathbf{u}_{ttt}\|_{L_2(0,t_m;\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{u}_{tttt}\|_{L_2(0,t_m;\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))} \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

For T_3 , using Lemma 3.9,

$$|T_3| \leq Ch^{2r} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_\infty(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))}^2 + Ck^4 \|\mathbf{u}_{tttt}\|_{L_2(0,t_m;\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega))}^2.$$

For T_4 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_4| & \leq Ch^{2r} \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_\infty(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_2(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^{r+1}(\Omega))} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_\varphi} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^*\|_{L_\infty(0,t_m;\mathbf{H}^r(\Omega))} \right)^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

and use Lemma 2.2, and, for T_5 ,

$$|T_5| \leq Ck \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\|\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\psi}_j\|_0^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_j\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}\|_0^2 \right).$$

Now select \hat{h} and \hat{k} small enough, use the initial conditions $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^*(0) = \mathbf{0}$ and apply the discrete Gronwall lemma to get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\psi}_m\|_0 + \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_m\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{im}\|_0 \\
 & \leq C(h^r + k^2) + C\|\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\psi}_0\|_0 + C\|\boldsymbol{\chi}_0\|_{\mathcal{A}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (25) and (13), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{\psi}_0\|_0 &= \|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{z}_0^h - \check{\mathbf{z}}(0))\|_0, \\ &\leq \|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{z}_0^h - \bar{\mathbf{z}})\|_0 + \|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\check{\mathbf{z}}(0) - \bar{\mathbf{z}})\|_0, \\ &\leq 2\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\check{\mathbf{z}}(0) - \bar{\mathbf{z}})\|_0 \leq Ch^r \|\bar{\mathbf{z}}\|_r. \end{aligned}$$

Also, using standard results for the elliptic projection (see e.g. [12]) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_0\|_{\mathcal{A}} &= \|\mathbf{u}_0^h - \check{\mathbf{u}}(0)\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \\ &\leq \|\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}_0^h\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \|\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \check{\mathbf{u}}(0)\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \\ &\leq \|\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}_0^h\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \|\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \check{\mathbf{u}}(0)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq Ch^r \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the triangle inequality we now obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\rho^{1/2}(\mathbf{u}_t(t_m) - \mathbf{z}_m^h)\|_0 + \|\mathbf{u}(t_m) - \mathbf{u}_m^h\|_{\mathcal{A}} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}(*\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t_m) - *\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{im}^h)\|_0 \\ &\leq \|\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_t(t_m)\|_0 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(t_m)\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\theta}_i(t_m)\|_0 \\ &\quad + \|\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\psi}_m\|_0 + \|\boldsymbol{\chi}_m\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varphi} \frac{1}{\tau_i} \|\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{im}\|_0, \\ &\leq Ch^r \|\mathbf{u}_t(t_m)\|_r + Ch^r \|\mathbf{u}(t_m)\|_{r+1} \\ &\quad + Ch^r \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_\varphi} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t_m)\|_r + C(h^r + k^2). \end{aligned}$$

To get this we noted that, $\|\boldsymbol{\xi}(t_j)\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(t_j)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq Ch^r \|\mathbf{u}(t_j)\|_{r+1}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Finally, using Lemma 2.2 completes the proof. \square

4 Conclusion

In this article we have extended the application of the DG FEM to dynamic linear viscoelasticity problems. This builds upon the algorithm and estimates in [12] in that we have now included the inertia term. It also varies the approach in [12] in that here we have chosen to represent the viscoelastic history through evolution equations for internal stress tensors, rather than augment the momentum equation with a Volterra (hereditary) integral.

The technical report that accompanies this article, [13], also contains semidiscrete energy and L_2 error estimates. They are not given here because the latter seems to require rather restrictive assumptions.

This article with [12] represents the extension of DG FEM to elliptic and (second-order) hyperbolic problems with viscoelastic memory. The analogous parabolic problem is currently under study in [11]. Since code development for these type of problems is non-trivial, we do not present numerical results here. Instead, numerics for all three problems will be presented elsewhere at a later date when all the numerical issues have been identified.

References

- [1] J. Brilla. Error analysis for Laplace transform—finite element solution of hyperbolic equations. *Numer. Math.*, 41:55—62, 1983.
- [2] C. M. Dafermos. An abstract Volterra equation with applications to linear viscoelasticity. *J. Diff. Eqns.*, 7:554—569, 1970.
- [3] V. Girault, B. Riviere, and M. Wheeler. A discontinuous Galerkin method with non-overlapping domain decomposition for the Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems. *Mathematics of Computation*, TICAM Report 02-08 (2002), to appear.
- [4] Gregory M. Hulbert and Thomas J. R. Hughes. Space-time finite element methods for second-order hyperbolic equations. *Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng.*, 84:327—348, 1990.
- [5] A. R. Johnson. Modeling viscoelastic materials using internal variables. *The Shock and Vibration Digest*, 31:91—100, 1999.
- [6] A. R. Johnson, A. Tessler, and M. Dambach. Dynamics of thick viscoelastic beams. *Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology*, 119:273—278, 1997.
- [7] A. K. Pani, V. Thomée, and L. B. Wahlbin. Numerical methods for hyperbolic and parabolic integro-differential equations. *J. Integral Equations Appl.*, 4:533—584, 1992.
- [8] B. Rivière, M. F. Wheeler, and V. Girault. A priori error estimates for finite element methods based on discontinuous approximation spaces for elliptic problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 39:902–931, 2001.
- [9] B. Rivière and M.F. Wheeler. A discontinuous Galerkin method applied to nonlinear parabolic equations. In B. Cockburn, G.E. Karniadakis, and C.-W. Shu, editors, *Discontinuous Galerkin Methods: Theory, Computation and Applications*, volume 11 of *Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering*, pages 231–244. Springer, 1999.

- [10] B. Riviere and M.F. Wheeler. Discontinuous finite element methods for acoustic and elastic wave problems. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 329:271–282, 2003.
- [11] Béatrice Rivière and Simon Shaw. Discontinuous Galerkin finite element approximation of nonlinear non-Fickian diffusion in viscoelastic polymers. Submitted to *Siam J. Numer. Anal.* See report 05/6 at www.brunel.ac.uk/bicom.
- [12] Béatrice Rivière, Simon Shaw, Mary F. Wheeler, and J.R. Whiteman. Discontinuous Galerkin finite element methods for linear elasticity and quasistatic linear viscoelasticity. *Numer. Math.*, 95:347–376, 2003.
- [13] Béatrice Rivière, Simon Shaw, and J.R. Whiteman. Discontinuous Galerkin finite element methods for dynamic linear solid viscoelasticity problems. Technical report, BICOM, Brunel University, 2004. 04/2, www.brunel.ac.uk/bicom.
- [14] Béatrice Rivière, Mary F. Wheeler, and Vivette Girault. Improved energy estimates for interior penalty, constrained and discontinuous Galerkin methods for elliptic problems. Part I. *Computational Geosciences*, 3:337–360, 1999.
- [15] Simon Shaw, M. K. Warby, and J. R. Whiteman. An error bound via the Ritz-Volterra projection for a fully discrete approximation to a hyperbolic integrodifferential equation. Technical report, 94/3, BICOM, Brunel University, Uxbridge, U.K., 1994. (see www.brunel.ac.uk/bicom).
- [16] E. G. Yanik and G. Fairweather. Finite element methods for parabolic and hyperbolic partial integro-differential equations. *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications*, 12:785–809, 1988.